# Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan Report 5800 Yonge Street Toronto, Ontario

prepared for

Times 5800 Inc. 3985 Highway 7 East, Suite 202 Markham, ON L3R 2A1

prepared by



146 Lakeshore Road West PO Box 1267 Lakeshore W PO Oakville ON L6K 0B3 t: 289.837.1871 f: 866.693.6390 e: consult@kuntzforestry.ca

29 June 2018, revised 31 May 2019

KUNTZ FORESTRY CONSULTING Inc. Project P1870

#### Introduction

Kuntz Forestry Consulting Inc. was retained by Times 5800 Inc. to complete a Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan in support of a development application for a property located at 5800 Yonge Street in Toronto, Ontario. The subject property is located on the southwest side of Yonge Street and Drewry Avenue, within a mix-used area.

The work plan for this tree preservation study included the following:

- Prepare inventory of the tree resources over 15 cm on and within six metres of the subject property;
- Evaluate potential tree saving opportunities based on proposed development plans; and,
- Document the findings in a Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan Report.

Tree resources were assessed utilizing the following parameters:

**Tree #** - number assigned to tree that corresponds to Figure 1.

**Species** - common and botanical names provided in the inventory table.

**DBH** - diameter (centimeters) at breast height, measured at 1.4 m above the ground.

**Condition** - condition of tree considering trunk integrity, crown structure and crown vigor. Condition ratings include poor (P), fair (F) and good (G).

Comments - additional relevant detail.

The results of the evaluation are provided below.

### City of Toronto Private Tree By-Law

Tree resources located on the subject property and on neighboring property are regulated by the City of Toronto Tree Protection By-law (Chapter 813, Article 3 of the Municipal Code). The Private Tree-By-law regulates tree injury and destruction of individual trees. Preliminary information is acquired on individual trees which are then categorized in compliance with the by-law in support of development applications (refer to Table 1). Tree categories range from one through five and are as follows:

#### Categories

- **1.** Trees with diameters of 30 cm or more, situated on private property on the subject site.
- **2.** Trees with diameters of 30 cm or more, situated on private property, within 6 m of the subject site.
- **3.** Trees of all diameters situated on City owned parkland within 6 m of the subject site.
- **4.** On lands designated under City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 658, Ravine and Natural Features Protection, trees of all diameters situated within 10 meters of any construction activity.
- **5.** Trees of all diameters situated within the City road allowance adjacent to the subject site.

(City of Toronto, 2008)

# Methodology

Trees measuring over 15cm DBH on and within six metres of the subject property were included in the tree inventory. Trees were located using a topographic survey provided for the property and aerial imagery downloaded from the City of Toronto Maps. Trees included in the inventory were numbered 1-87. Tree locations are shown on Figure 1. See Table 1 for the results of the inventory.

# **Existing Site Conditions**

The subject property is currently occupied by a commercial building with associated parking and amenity areas. Tree resources exist in the form of landscape trees and natural generation. Refer to Figure 1 for the existing site conditions.

#### Individual Tree Resources

The tree inventory was conducted on 26 June 2018. The inventory documented 87 trees on and within six metres of the subject property. Refer to Table 1 for the full tree inventory and Figure 1 for the location of trees reported in the tree inventory.

Tree resources were comprised of Manitoba Maple (*Acer negundo*), Norway Maple (*Acer platanoides*), Sugar Maple (*Acer saccharum*), White Birch (*Betula papyrifera*), Shademaster Honey Locust (*Gleditsia triacanthos 'inermis'*), Apple Species (*Malus spp.*), Norway Spruce (*Picea abies*), White Spruce (*Picea glauca*), Colorado Blue Spruce (*Picea pungens*), Austrian Pine (*Pinus nigra*), Scots Pine (*Pinus sylvestris*), Cherry Species (*Prunus spp.*), Pear Species (*Pyrus spp.*), Weeping Willow (*Salix babylonica*), Eastern White Cedar (*Thuja occidentalis*), Little-leaf Linden (*Tilia cordata*), White Elm (*Ulmus americana*), Siberian Elm (*Ulmus pumila*), and Elm Species (*Ulmus spp.*).

#### **Proposed Development**

The proposed development includes the demolition of the existing building and the construction of five high-rise residential towers, an underground parking lot, and associated driveway on the east side of the subject property. A new pedestrian connection is proposed on the north side of the subject property. A new park is proposed on the west side of the subject property. Refer to Figure 1 for the proposed site plan.

### Discussion

The following sections provide a discussion and analysis of tree impacts and tree preservation relative to the proposed development and existing conditions.

## Development Impacts/Tree Removal

The removal of 65 trees will be required to accommodate the proposed development. Required tree removals include Trees 1-4, 6-16, 34, 36-41, and 44-87. The removal of Trees 15, 76, 78, 83, and 84 is recommended regardless of the site plan due to their hazardous condition. Trees 1-4, 15, 16, 37-41, 52-55, 57, 62, 63, 66-73, 75-83, 85, and 86 over 30cm DBH located on the subject property (category 1 trees), Trees 44-49, 59, and 61 are over 30cm DBH located on the neighbouring properties (category 2 trees), and Tree 84 is located on the City road right-of-way (category 5 tree). A permit is required

prior to their removal. Trees 36, 44-50, and 58-61 are located on the neighbouring properties; written consent from the owners of the neighbouring properties is required prior to their removal. Refer to Figure 1 for location of the proposed tree removals and Table 2 for the summary of tree removals.

Table 2. Summary of Tree Removals

Tree By-law Category	Tree Numbers	Total Number of Trees
Category 1 trees	1-4, 15, 16, 37-41, 52-55, 57, 62, 63, 66-73, 75-83, 85,	37
	86	
Category 2 trees	44-49, 59, 61	8
Category 5 trees	84	1
Undersized	6-14, 34, 36, 50, 51, 56, 58, 60, 64, 65, 74, 87	19
TOTAL		65

The removal of Tree 29 is recommended regardless of the site plan due to hazardous condition. Tree 29 has a large cavity with hollow stem.

#### Tree Preservation

Preservation of remaining 20 trees will be possible with the use of appropriate tree protection measures as indicated on Figure 1. Trees for preservation includes Trees 5, 17-28, 30-33, 35, 42, and 43. Tree protection measures will have to be implemented prior to the demolition to ensure tree resources designated for retention are not impacted by the proposed development. Refer to Figure 1 for the location of required tree preservation fencing, general Tree Protection Plan Notes, and tree preservation fence detail.

# **Tree Replacement**

The City of Toronto requires replacement for any tree removal. The removal of 30 healthy trees and 11 trees in poor condition protected by the City of Toronto Tree Bylaw is proposed to accommodate the proposed site plan. As such, a total of 101 replacement plantings is required (3:1 ratio of plantings to removals of healthy trees and 1:1 ratio of plantings to removals of trees in poor condition). Species will include native specimens suitable for the area. Refer to Landscaping Plan for further detail.

# **Summary and Recommendations**

Kuntz Forestry Consulting Inc. was retained by Times 5800 Inc. to complete a Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan in support of a development application for the property located at 5800 Yonge Street in Toronto, Ontario. A tree inventory was conducted and reviewed in the context of the proposed site plan.

The findings of the study indicate a total of 87 trees on and within six metres of the subject property. The removal of 65 trees is required to accommodate the proposed development. The removal of one tree is recommended regardless of the site plan due to hazardous condition. The remaining 20 trees can be saved provided appropriate tree protection measures are installed prior to development.

The following recommendations are suggested to minimize impacts to trees identified for preservation. Refer to Figure 1 for the location of required tree preservation fencing, general Tree Protection Plan Notes, and tree preservation fence detail.

- Tree protection barriers and fencing should be erected at locations as prescribed on Figure 1. All tree protection measures should follow the guidelines as set out in the tree preservation plan notes and the tree preservation fencing detail.
- No construction activity including surface treatments, excavations of any kind, storage
  of materials or vehicles, unless specifically outlined above, is permitted within the area
  identified on Figure 1 as a tree protection zone (TPZ) at any time during or after
  construction.
- Branches and roots that extend beyond prescribed tree protection zones that require pruning must be pruned by a qualified Arborist or other tree professional. All pruning of tree roots and branches must be in accordance with Good Arboricultural Standards.
- Site visits, pre, during and post construction is recommended by either a certified consulting arborist (I.S.A.) or registered professional forester (R.P.F.) to ensure proper utilization of tree protection barriers. Trees should also be inspected for damage incurred during construction to ensure appropriate pruning or other measures are implemented.

Respectfully Submitted,

**Kuntz Forestry Consulting Inc.** 

Kaho Hayashi

Kaho Hayashi, B.Sc., M.Sc.F. Associate Forest Ecologist ISA Certified Arborist #ON-2153A

#### References

City of Toronto, 2008. Private Tree Protection. Chapter 813, Article III. Adopted September 30, 2004 by By-law No. 780-2004; last amended February 21, 2013 by By-law No. 248-2013.

# **Table 1. Tree Inventory**

Location: 5800 Yonge Street, Toronto Date: <u>26 June 2018</u> Surveyors: <u>KH</u>

Tree #	Common Name	Scientific Name	DBH	П	cs	CV	CDB	mTPZ	cat.	Comments	Action
1	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	37.5	G	F/G	F		2.4	1	Exposed roots (L), pruning wounds (L),	Remove
		•								asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L) Pruning wounds (L), sweep (L),	(construction) Remove
2	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	35	F/G	F/G	F		2.4	1	asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)	(construction)
	AtileDiv.	D'	- 00	F/0	F/0	_		0.4		Crook (L), pruning wounds (L),	Remove
3	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	33	F/G	F/G	F		2.4	1	asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)	(construction)
4	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	47	G	F/G	F		3	1	Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown	Remove
		<u> </u>		Ŭ	.,.					(M)	(construction)
5	Honey Locust	Gleditsia triacanthos	37, 35, 33, 22	F	G	F/G		4.2	2	Union at base, bow (L)	Preserve
-	(Shademaster)	'inermis'	~16, 14,							Union at 1m, dead branches (L), purple-	Remove
6	Pear Species	Pyrus spp.	12	F	F	P/F	20	1.8	-	leaved cultivar	(construction)
7	D Ci	D		_	F	F	15	1.8	_	Union at 1m, pruning wounds (M), crook	Remove
7	Pear Species	Pyrus spp.	~20, 15	F	F	F	15	1.8	-	(M), purple-leaved cultivar	(construction)
8	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	26	F/G	Р	Р	50	1.8	-	Exposed roots (M), girdling roots, dead	Remove
	, ,	,	-							branches (H), sweep (L)	(construction)
9	White Spruce	Picea glauca	27	F	G	F/G		1.8	-	Lean (M), sweep (L)	Remove (construction)
						_					Remove
10	White Spruce	Picea glauca	16	F/G	F/G	F		1.8	-	Sweep (L)	(construction)
11	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	27	G	G	F/G		1.8	_		Remove
	rtorway opraco	i icea abies	-1	Ŭ	Ŭ	.,0		1.0			(construction)
12	White Spruce	Picea glauca	24	G	F	F	20	1.8	-		Remove
		,									(construction) Remove
13	Cherry Species	Prunus spp.	24	F	G	F/G		1.8	-	Stem wound (M) near base	(construction)
	0. 0 :	_		_		-10				0 40	Remove
14	Cherry Species	Prunus spp.	22.5	F	G	F/G		1.8	-	Seam (M)	(construction)
										Seam (H), dead branches (H), epicormic	Remove
15	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	32	Р	Р	P/F	50	2.4	1	branches (M), bark split ==> hazard	(construction)
										1	·
16	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	50	F/G	P/F	P/F	40	3	1	Dead leader, Diplodia (L), lean (L), pruning wounds (L)	Remove (construction)
										Sweep (L), crook (L), Diplodia (L), sparse	,
17	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	41	F/G	F	F		3	2	crown (L)	Preserve
18	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~16, 14,	F/G	G	F/G		1.8	-	Union at base, bird nest	Preserve
		-	12							Official base, bild flest	
19	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~22	G	G	F		1.8	-		Preserve
20	Apple Species	Malus spp.	~15-22 (avg. 18)	F	F/G	P/F	25	1.8	-	Union at base (6 stems)	Preserve
										Lean (M), co-dominance at 1.5m with	
21	Apple Species	Malus spp.	27	F	G	F/G		1.8	-	included bark (L), crook (M)	Preserve
22	Apple Species	Malus spp.	29	F	F	F		1.8	-	Bow (M), crook (M), epicormic branches	Preserve
		* *								(M)	
23	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~24	G	G	F/G		1.8	-		Preserve
24	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~16	G	G	F/G		1.8	-		Preserve
25 26	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis Thuja occidentalis	~22	G	G	F/G F/G		1.8	-	Union at base	Preserve Preserve
27	Eastern White Cedar Norway Spruce	Picea abies	~15, 15	F/G G	G	F/G		2.4	2	Official base	Preserve
28	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	~30	G	G	F/G		2.4	2		Preserve
	riornay opraco	7 loca abico		Ŭ	Ŭ	.,0			_	Co-dominance at 3m with cavity, hollow	
29	Weeping Willow	Salix babylonica	128	P/F	F	F	15	7.8	1	stem, broken branches (M), epicormic	Remove
		-								branches (M) ==> hazard	(condition)
30	HoneyLocust	Gleditsia triacanthos	~25, 25,	F/G	F/G	F/G		1.8		Union at base, bow (L)	Preserve
- 0.4	(Shademaster)	'inermis'	20	E/0	_	_	4.5	0.4	_		
31	Norway Maple	Pinus nigra Acer platanoides	~35	F/G G	G	F/G	15	1.8		Lean (L), pruning wounds (L) Sweep (L)	Preserve
32	Norway Maple	Acer pialarioldes	~22	G	G	F/G		1.0	-	Base in neighbouring property, main	Fleseive
										stems growing into subject property,	
33	Elm Species	Ulmus spp.	~20, 16	P/F	F/G	F		1.8	-	incuded fence (H), epicormic branches	Preserve
										(H), crook (M), union at 0.3m	
34	White Spruce	Picea glauca	29	F/G	G	F/G		1.8	-	Lean (L), sweep (L)	Remove
35	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	~45	Р	Р	P/F	50	3	2	Union at 5m but 1 stem broken, dead	Preserve
		7 toor pratamorado		Ŀ				Ů		branches (M)	
36	Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	~15	F	Р	Р	60	1.8	-	Dead leader, crook (M), asymmetrical	Remove
										crown (M) Union at 2m (3 stems), crook (L),	(construction) Remove
37	Manitoba maple	Acer negundo	54	F	G	F/G		3.6	1	epicormic branches (M)	(construction)
- 00	N		00	_	-	D/E	00	0.4			Remove
38	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	33	F	F	P/F	30	2.4	1	Growth deficit (L), dead branches (M)	(construction)
39	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	35.5	F/G	G	F/G		2.4	1	Sweep (L)	Remove
39	i to: way wapic	noor platanolues	55.5	1/0	٥	1 / G		۷.4		,	(construction)
40	Niemana Mercilo	Accompletent	F45	_				2.0	_	Union at 2m, poor union, pruning wounds	Remove
40	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	54.5	F	G	F/G		3.6	1	(L), broken branches (L), seam (L), exposed roots (L)	(construction)
										Exposed roots (L), union at 3m with	Remove
41	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	42	F	G	F/G		3	1	included bark (M), seam (L), bird nest	(construction)
42	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	~50	F/G	G	F/G		3	2	Lean (L) to west	Preserve
				•	•	•	_				

Add   Sibertan Elm	43	White Birch	Betula papyrifera	~20, 15	F/G	G	F/G		1.8	-	Union at 0.5m	Preserve
A												Remove
Control   Cont			·				_	10				(construction) Remove
Solicitus   Color	-		·									(construction) Remove
AB   Norway Napple   Acer plasanoides   -32   FIG   G   FIG   2.4   2   Union at 2m. sparse crown (M)   Connection   Con	46	Siberian Elm	Ulmus pumila	~50	F/G	F	P/F	15	3	2		(construction)
49   White Elm	47	Siberian Elm	Ulmus pumila	~45	F	Р	Р	60	3	2	Bow (L), crook (M), dead branches (H)	Remove (construction)
40   White Elm   Ulmus amencane   -50   File   G   G   C   Clock (L)	48	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	~32	F/G	G	F/G		2.4	2	Union at 2m	Remove (construction)
51   Cherry Speedes	49	White Elm	Ulmus americana	~50	F/G	G	F	15	3	2	Union at 4m, sparse crown (M)	Remove (construction)
15   1.   1.   1.   1.   2.   1.   1.   2.   1.   2.   1.   2.   1.   2.   2	50	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	~15	F/G	G	G		1.8	-	Crook (L)	Remove (construction)
2.5   Austrian Prine   Prinus nigra   37   6   F/3   F   10   2.4   1   1, sparse crown (L), Diplodia (L)   5.5   2.5   1.5   2.5   1.5   2.5   1.5   2.5   1.5   2.5	51	Cherry Species	Prunus spp.	15	F/G	G	F/G		1.8	-		Remove (construction)
Second   Prince   P	52	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	37	G	F/G	F		2.4	1		Remove (construction)
Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 42 FIG FIG F R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	53	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	39	G	F/G	F	10	2.4	1		Remove (construction)
Second   Prince   P	54	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	42	F/G	F/G	F		3	1		Remove (construction)
Section	55	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	43	F	F	F		3	1	(L), sparse crown (L), Diplodia (L), bow (L), poor form (L)	Remove (construction)
Seastern White Cedar   Thuja occidentalis   -20   G   G   FiG   1.8   -	56	Cherry Species	Prunus spp.	17	G	G	P/F	30	1.8	-		Remove (construction)
58 Eastern White Cedar   Intiga occidentalis   -20   G   G   FiG   Re   Consideration   Gons   Gons	57	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	54.5	G	Р	Р	75	3.6	1	Pruning wounds (L)	Remove (construction)
Seastern White Cedar   Thuja occidentalis   -20   G   G   Fig   1.8   .     Constitution   Reconstitution	58	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~20	G	G	F/G		1.8	-		Remove (construction)
Eastern Write Cedar   Intiga occidentains   Color	59	Manitoba maple	Acer negundo	~30	F	F/G	F/G		2.4	2	Lean (M) to northeast, sweep (M)	Remove (construction)
Reconstruction   Rec	60	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~20	G	G	F/G		1.8	-		Remove (construction)
Record   R	61	Manitoba maple	Acer negundo	~45, 40	P/F	F	F	15	3.6	2	(M), epicormic branches (M), dead	Remove (construction)
63   Manitoba maple	62	Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	~35, 28	F	F/G	F		2.4	1	Union at 1m with included bark (M), fused	Remove (construction)
Shademaster   Sinemis'   15   F/G   G   G   1.8   - Crook (L)   Coons (L)	63	Manitoba maple	Acer negundo	~65	P/F	F	P/F	30	4.2	1	bow (L) to west, epicormic branches (H),	Remove (construction)
Shademaster   Inermis'   16.5   F/G   G   G   1.8   Co-dominance at 3m   Coons	64	•		15	F/G	G	G		1.8	-	Crook (L)	Remove (construction)
Austrian Pine	65	•		16.5	F/G	G	G		1.8	-	Co-dominance at 3m	Remove (construction)
67 Austrian Pine	66			47	F/G	F/G	F		3	1		Remove (construction)
Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 38 F F/G F 2.4 1 Stem wound (L) at base, lean (L), pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (constructions).  69 Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 32.5 G F/G F 2.4 1 Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (constructions).  70 Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 36 G G F 2.4 1 Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)  71 Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 42 F/G G F 3 1 Pruning wounds (L), seymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)  72 Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 41.5 G F/G F 3 1 Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)  73 Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 44.5 G F/G F 3 1 Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)  74 Honey Locust (Shademaster) Gleditsia triacanthos inermis' 15.5 F/G G G 1.8 - Union at 2.5m  75 Sugar Maple Acer saccharum 105.5 F F/G F 15 6.6 1 Co-dominance at 2m with included bark (M), cavity  76 Sugar Maple Acer saccharum 74.5 P P P/F F 5 4.8 1 Bow (M), to northeast, epicormic branches (Constructions) Reconstruction (M), Seam	67	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	42	F/G	F/G	F		3	1	Pruning wounds (L), sweep (L),	Remove (construction)
Recommendation   Reco	68	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	38	F	F/G	F		2.4	1	Stem wound (L) at base, lean (L), pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (L),	Remove (construction)
Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 36 G G F 2.4 1 Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)  Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 42 F/G G F 3 1 Pruning wounds (L), sweep (L), Diplodia (Cons (Cons (L)))  Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 41.5 G F/G F 3 1 Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)  Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 44.5 G F/G F 3 1 Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)  Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 44.5 G F/G F 3 1 Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)  Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 44.5 G F/G F 3 1 Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)  Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 44.5 G F/G F 3 1 Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)  Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 44.5 G F/G F 3 1 Conditional (L) Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)  Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 44.5 F/G G G I I.8 - Union at 2.5m (Cons (Cons (Cons (Cons (In India))))  Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 44.5 F/G G G I I.8 - Union at 2.5m (Cons (Cons (In India)))  Acer saccharum 105.5 F F/G F I I I Const leader at 6m, open cavity, decay with rot ==> hazard (M), saccharum (India)  Acer platanoides 42 P/F F I I I Bow (M) to northeast, epicormic branches (M), stem wound (M), seam (M)  Acer platanoides 45.5 P F F I I I Bow (M) to northeast, epicormic branches (M), stem wound (M),	69	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	32.5	G	F/G	F		2.4	1	Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown	Remove (construction)
71 Austrian Pine   Pinus nigra   42 F/G G F   3 1 Pruning wounds (L), sweep (L), Diplodia (Cons (Cons (Cons (M), Diplodia (L))   72 Austrian Pine   Pinus nigra   41.5 G F/G F   3 1 Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)   73 Austrian Pine   Pinus nigra   44.5 G F/G F   3 1 Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)   74 Honey Locust (Shademaster)   (Shademaster)   Gleditsia triacanthos (Cons (Cons (M), Diplodia (L))   75 Sugar Maple   Acer saccharum   76 Sugar Maple   Acer saccharum   77 Norway Maple   Acer platanoides   42 P/F F F 15 3 1 Bow (M) to northeast, epicormic branches (Cons (M), stem wound (M) at base with cavity, pruning wounds (L), vertical split, broken branches (L) == potential hazard (Cons (Cons (Cons (Cons (Cons (M), stem wound (M))))   76 Norway Maple   Acer platanoides   45.5 P F F P 25 3 1 Wound (M) at base due to mower, pruning (Cons (Cons (Cons (Cons (Cons (M)))))   78 Norway Maple   Acer platanoides   45.5 P F F P 25 3 1 Wound (M) at base due to mower, pruning (Cons (Cons (Cons (Cons (M))))   79 Norway Maple   Acer platanoides   53.5 F F F P 20 3.6 1 Wound (M) at base due to mower, pruning (Cons (Cons (Cons (Cons (M))))   79 Norway Maple   Acer platanoides   53.5 F F F P 20 3.6 1 Wound (M) at base due to mower, pruning (Cons (Cons (Cons (M))))   80	70	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	36	G	G	F		2.4	1	Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown	Remove (construction)
72 Austrian Pine   Pinus nigra   41.5    G    F/G    F    3    1    Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)  73 Austrian Pine   Pinus nigra   44.5    G    F/G    F    3    1    Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (M), Diplodia (L)  74 Honey Locust (Shademaster)   Sugar Maple   Acer saccharum   75 Sugar Maple   Acer saccharum   76 Sugar Maple   Acer saccharum   77 Norway Maple   Acer platanoides   78 Norway Maple   Acer platanoides   Acer platanoides   79 Norway Maple   Acer platanoides   70 Norway Maple   Acer platanoides   71    72 Austrian Pine   Pinus nigra   44.5    G    F/G    F    G    G    G    1.8    - Union at 2.5m (Co-dominance at 2m with included bark (M), cavity (Cons (Cons (Cons (M)), cavity (Cons (Cons (M)), cavity (Cons (Cons (M)), cavity (Cons (M)), cavity (Cons (M)), sem (M) (Cons (Cons (M)), sem (M)) (Cons (M)) (Cons (M), sem (M)) (Cons (M),	71	Austrian Pine	Pinus nigra	42	F/G	G	F		3	1	Pruning wounds (L), sweep (L), Diplodia	Remove
73 Austrian Pine Pinus nigra 44.5 G F/G F 3 1 Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown (cons (Shademaster) Gleditsia triacanthos inermis' 15.5 F/G G G I 1.8 - Union at 2.5m Re (cons (Shademaster) Re (shadem	-		-				F		3	1	Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown	(construction) Remove
The second section of the sec	-										Pruning wounds (L), asymmetrical crown	(construction) Remove
Considerate		Honey Locust	Gleditsia triacanthos								7. 1 ( /	(construction) Remove
76 Sugar Maple  Acer saccharum  74.5 P P P/F 75 4.8 1 Lost leader at 6m, open cavity, decay with construction for terms hazard  78 Norway Maple  Acer platanoides  42 P/F F F 15 3 1 Bow (M) to northeast, epicormic branches (cons (M), stem wound (M), seam (M))  Co-dominance at 3m, pruning wounds (L), setm wound (H) at base with cavity, pruning wounds (L), wertical split, broken branches (L) ==> potential hazard  79 Norway Maple  Acer platanoides  53.5 F F F E 20 3.6 1 wound (M) at base due to mower, pruning (cons (M))		,						15				(construction) Remove
77 Norway Maple  Acer platanoides  42 P/F F F 15 3 1 Bow (M) to northeast, epicormic branches (cons (M), stem wound (M), seam (M))  Co-dominance at 3m, pruning wounds (L), stem wound (H) at base with cavity, pruning wounds (L), vertical split, broken branches (L) ==> potential hazard  78 Norway Maple  Acer platanoides  45.5 P F F 25 3 1 Co-dominance at 3m, pruning wounds (L), stem wound (H) at base with cavity, pruning wounds (L), vertical split, broken branches (L) ==> potential hazard  79 Norway Maple  Acer platanoides  53.5 F F F 20 3.6 1 wound (M) at base due to mower, pruning (cons (Cons (M)))											· /·	(construction) Remove
78 Norway Maple  Acer platanoides  42 F/F F F 13 3 1 (M), stem wound (M), seam (M) (consider of the consider of the consideration of the con	76	Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum	/4.5	۲	P	P/F	/5	4.8	1	rot ==> hazard	(construction)
78 Norway Maple  Acer platanoides  45.5 P F F 25 3 1 1 (L), setm wound (H) at base with cavity, pruning wounds (L), vertical split, broken branches (L) ==> potential hazard  79 Norway Maple  Acer platanoides  53.5 F F F E 20 3.6 1 wound (M) at base due to mower, pruning (consecutive)	77	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	42	P/F	F	F	15	3	1	(M), stem wound (M), seam (M)	Remove (construction)
79 Norway Maple Acer platanoides 53.5 F F F D 20 3.6 1 wound (M) at base due to mower, pruning (non-	78	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	45.5	Р	F	F	25	3	1	(L), stem wound (H) at base with cavity, pruning wounds (L), vertical split, broken branches (L) ==> potential hazard	Remove (construction)
wounds (L)	79	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	53.5	F	F	F	20	3.6	1	wound (M) at base due to mower, pruning	Remove (construction)
I 80 INorway Manie I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	80	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	63.5	F	F	F	20	4.2	1		Remove (construction)

81	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	53.5	F	F	P/F	30	3.6	1	Union at 3m, pruning wounds (L), dead branches (M), epicormic branches (L), bow (L), exposed roots (L) with wound	Remove (construction)
82	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	42.5	F/G	F	F	20	3	1	Exposed roots (L), co-dominance at 2.5m with included bark (M)	Remove (construction)
83	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	57.5	Р	F	F	25	3.6	1	Union at 3m, fruiting bodies (H) at broken branches (M), epciormic branches (H) ==> potential hazard	Remove (construction)
84	Little-leaf Linden	Tilia cordata	41	Р	Р	Р	90	3	5	Fruiting bodies (H) at lower main stem, seam (M), dead leader, a few epicormic branches alive ==> hazard	Remove (construction)
85	Little-leaf Linden	Tilia cordata	50.5	F/G	Р	Р	50	3.6	1	Growth deficit (L), epicormic branches (L), broken branches (L)	Remove (construction)
86	Little-leaf Linden	Tilia cordata	43	F/G	F/G	P/F	20	3	1	Stem wound (L) with rot, pruning wounds (M)	Remove (construction)
87	Colorado Blue Spruce	Picea pungens	~20	G	G	G		1.8	-		Remove (construction)

	Codes										
DBH	Diameter at Breast Height	(cm)									
TI	Trunk Integrity	(G, F, P)									
CS	Crown Structure	(G, F, P)									
CV	Crown Vigor	(G, F, P)									
CDB	Crow n Die Back	(%)									
DL	Dripline	(m)									
mTPZ	minimum Tree Protection Zone	(m)									
Cat. City of Toronto Tree Category 1, 2, 3, 4, 5											
~ = estimate; (VL) = very light; (L) = light; (M) = moderate;											
	(H) = heavy										

